UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

MAILED

JAN 2 0 2004

PAT. & T.M. OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte SARANTHY RAJAGOPALAN

Application No. 09/465,131

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL

This application was received at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on December 30, 2003. A review of the application has revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith returned to the examiner. The matters requiring attention prior to docketing are identified below.

According to page 3 of the Examiner's Answer mailed

August 25, 2003 (Paper No. 19), "[t]he copy of the appealed

claims contained in the Appendix to the brief is correct."

However, it is noted that the language of claims 1 and 6 in the

Appendix to the Supplemental Appeal Brief filed June 11, 2003

(Paper No. 18) differs from its last amended version.

Specifically:

1. The <u>marked</u> version of the amendment to claim 1, ¶ 3, filed January 23, 2001 (Paper No. 9) lists:

a semiconductor die . . . is secured directly to the upper surface

However, the Appendix to the Appeal Brief filed June 11, 2003 (Paper No. 18) for claim 1, \P 3, lists:

a semiconductor die . . . is secured¹ to <u>an</u> upper surface . . . [emphasis added]

- 2. The same problem as noted above regarding the word "directly" appears in claim 6, \P 4;
- 3. The <u>marked</u> version of the amendment to claim 6, line 1, filed January 23, 2001 (Paper No. 9) lists:
- 6. A thermal profiling device comprising:
 However, the appendix to the Appeal Brief filed June 11, 2003
 (Paper No. 18) for claim 6, line 1, filed January 23, 2001 (Paper No. 9) lists:
 - 6. A thermal profiling device for a flip -chip integrated circuit comprising:

It should be noted that wording "for a flip-chip integrated circuit" has not been added by a formal amendment. A new version

¹ (Please note that the word "directly" has also been omitted from the marked version.)

of these claims may only be entered upon submission of an amendment in proper form and on a separate paper, which must be approved by the examiner. Moreover, if the amendment is filed and entry is permitted by the examiner, a new Appendix to the Appeal Brief will need to be submitted which contains a correct copy of the claims on appeal. Currently, confusion exists as to which version of claims 1 and 6 is on appeal. Clarification regarding the status of these claims is required.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is returned to the examiner for:

- 1. for clarification regarding the status of claims 1 and 6, and written notification to appellants as to which version of these claims is to be used in the Appendix to the Brief filed June 11, 2003 (Paper No. 18);
- 2. if appropriate, for notification to appellants to submit an amendment adding the wording "for a flip-chip integrated circuit" to claim 6;
- 3. if appropriate, for notification to appellants to submit a new Appendix to the Appeal Brief filed June 11, 2003

Application 09/465,131

(Paper No. 18) which contains a correct copy of the claims on appeal, in particular, claims 1 and 6; and

4. for such further action as may be appropriate.

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

By:

DALE SHAW

Program and Resource Administrator

 $(70\overline{3})$ 308-9797

cc:

LSI Logic Corporation 1621 Barber Lane MS: D-106 Legal Milpitas, CA 95035

ds/psb/lc RA040194